arXiv:1702.08612v1 [math.DS] 28 Feb 2017

MATHER MEASURES FOR SPACE-TIME PERIODICAL NONCONVEX HAMILTONIANS

EDDALY GUERRA- VELASCO

Abstract. In [G] Diogo Gomes developed techniques and tools with the purpose of extending the Aubry-Mather theory in a stochastic setting, namely he proved the existence of stochastic Mather measures and their properties. These results were also extended in the time-dependent setting in the doctoral thesis the author [GV]. However to construct analogs to the AubryMather measures for nonconvex Hamiltonians it is necessary to use the adjoint method introduced by Evans [E1] and H. V. Tran [T], the construction of the measures is in [CGT]. The main goal of this paper is to construct Mather measures for space-time periodical nonconvex Hamiltonians using the techniques in [E1], [T] and [CGT] .

1. Introduction.

1.1. **The Convex Case.** Let $\mathbb{T}^{d+1}$ be the $d+1$ - torus and consider a smooth periodic Tonelli Hamiltonian $H : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

Let $L : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Lagrangian associated to the Hamiltonian:

$$L(x, v, t) = \max_p pv - H(x, p, t), \tag{1}$$

for every $(x, v, t) \in \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Now we consider the corresponding flow of the time dependent Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= D_p H(x, p, t), \\ \dot{p} &= -D_x H(x, p, t). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now the dynamics transforms to

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X} &= D\bar{H}(P), \\ \dot{P} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

under the change of variables

$$(p, x) \to (P, X)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37J50, 49L25,

Key words and phrases. Hamilton-Jacobi, non-convex, periodic Hamiltonians.

where $p = P + D_x u(x, P, t)$, $X = x + D_P u(x, P, t)$ if we suppose that both $u(x, P, t)$ and $\bar{H}(P)$ are smooth functions and $u(x, P, t)$ satisfies the time dependent Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$u_t + H(x, D_x u, t) = \bar{H}(P). \tag{4}$$

Definition 1. A continuous function $u : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *forward viscosity solution* of (4) if it satisfies the two properties.

(1) If v is a C^1 function and $u - v$ has a local maximum at (x, t), then

$$v_t + H(x, D_x v(x, t), t) \geq \bar{H}(P),$$

(2) If v is a C^1 function and $u - v$ has a local minimum at (x, t), then

$$v_t + H(x, D_x v(x, t), t) \leq \bar{H}(P).$$

Backward viscosity solutions are defined by reversing both inequalities.

It is known ([CIS], [EG]) that there is only one value $\bar{H}(P)$, such that (4) has a time periodic viscosity solution.

As a consequence of the semilinearity and convexity there is a consequence map $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, given by $\Phi(x, v, t) = (x, D_v L(x, v, t), t)$, wich is well defined and one-to-one.

Recall the Poisson bracket,

$$\{F, G\} := D_p F \cdot D_x G - D_x F \cdot D_p G.$$

In Hamiltonian coordinates, the property of invariance for a probability measure ν can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_s + \{H, \phi\} \, d\mu = 0$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\mu = \Phi_{\#}\nu$ is the push-forward of the measure ν with respect to the map Φ, i.e. the measure μ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x, p, t) d\mu(x, p, t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x, D_v L(x, v, t), t) d\nu(x, v, t)$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Denoting by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ the class of probability measures on $\mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and taking $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, where $(x, v, t) = z$ represents a generic point $z \in \Omega$ with $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^{d+1}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Now let $\mathcal{D}$ be the class of probability measures in Ω that are invariant under the Euler-Lagrange flow, so we have

$$\mathcal{D} = \Big\{ \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) : \int_\Omega \phi_t + \{H, \phi\} d\Phi_{\#}\nu(x, p, t) = 0 \text{ for every } \phi \in C_c^1(\Omega) \Big\},$$

and the set of holonomic measures

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) : \int_\Omega \psi_t + v \cdot \, D\psi(x, t) \, d\nu(x, v, t) = 0, \text{ for every } \psi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^{d+1}) \}.$$

We recall the Mather problem

$$\min_{v\in\mathcal{F}} \int_\Omega L(x,v,t)\, d\nu(x,v,t), \tag{5}$$

a more general version of (5) consists in studying for each $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$ fixed

$$\min_{\nu\in\mathcal{F}} \int_\Omega (L(x,v,t) - P\cdot v) d\nu. \tag{6}$$

Any minimizer of (6) is a Mather measure, now the following proposition will be helpful to prove an important result.

Proposition 2. *Let $H : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that satisfies the classical hypotheses. Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ be a minimizer of (6) and set $\mu = \Phi_\# \nu$. Then*

(i) μ is invariant under the Hamiltonian dynamics, i.e.,

$$\int_\Omega \phi_t + \{H,\phi\} d\mu(x,p,t) = 0, \quad \text{for every } \phi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$$

(ii) μ is supported on the graph

$$\Sigma := \{(x,p,t) \in \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d : p = P + D_x u(x,P,t)\}$$

where u is any viscosity solution of (4).

The proof of the proposition is a consequence of results in [B] and [CIS].

As in [CGT] the following theorem gives a characterization of Mather measures in the time dependent convex case.

Theorem 3. *Assume $H : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function that satisfies the classical hypotheses of convexity, superlinearity, and periodicity and let $P \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is a solution of*

$$-\min_{\nu\in\mathcal{F}} \int_\Omega (L(x,v,t) - P\cdot v) d\nu(x,v,t),$$

if and only if

(a) $\int_\Omega \phi_t + H(x,p,t) d\mu = \bar{H}(P) = H(x,p,t)$ μ a.e.,
(b) $\int_\Omega \phi_t + (p+P)\cdot D_p H(x,p,t)\, d\mu(x,p,t) = 0$,
(c) $\int_\Omega \phi_t + D_p H(x,p,t)\cdot D\phi(x,p,t) = 0$, for every $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})$.

where $\mu = \Phi_\#\nu$ and $\bar{H}(P)$ is the unique value such that (4) has a time periodic viscosity solution.

Proof. To simplify, we will assume $P = 0$. Let us prove that $\mu = \Phi_\#\nu$ satisfies (a)-(c). From (ii) of the last proposition, and (1), we have that

$$\int_\Omega \phi_t + H(x,p,t) d\mu = \bar{H}(0),$$

so (a) holds.

Now, we know that

$$H(x,p,t) = p \cdot D_p H(x,p,t) - L(x, D_p H(x,p,t), t),$$

and from (a) it follows that

$$\int_\Omega \phi_t + p \cdot D_p H(x,p,t)\, d\mu = 0.$$

Finally (c) follows from that $\nu \in \mathcal{F}$.

Reciprocally let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that (a), (b) and (c) holds, and we will show that $\nu = \Phi_\# \mu$ is a minimizer of (6).

Now observe that $\nu \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$\int_\Omega \psi_t + v \cdot D\psi(x,t)\, d\nu = \int_\Omega \psi_t + D_p H(x,p,t) \cdot D\psi(x,t) = 0,$$

for every $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})$.

The fact that ν is a minimizer is obtained by using (a) and (b)

$$\bar{H}(0) = \int_\Omega \phi_t + H(x,p,t) d\mu = \int_\Omega \phi_t + p \cdot D_p H - L d\mu = \int_\Omega -L d\mu.$$

□

The previous characterization will help us to define Mather measures in the non-convex case.

1.2. **The Nonconvex Case.** Throughout the paper, we will assume that

i. H is smooth,
ii. $H(\cdot, p, t)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$-periodic for $(p,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$,
iii. There exists a continuous function $\chi : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \chi(u)^{-1} du = \infty \text{ and } |H(x,p,t)| \leq \chi(|p|). \tag{7}$$

Example 1. Consider

$$H(x,p,t) = |p_1| - |p_2| + V(x,t)$$

If we take $\chi(u) = 2u + C$,

$$\int_0^\infty \chi(u)^{-1} du = \infty$$

and $|H(x,p,t)| = ||p_1| - |p_2| + V(x,t)| \leq \chi(|p|)$.

We extend the definition of Mather measure in the nonconvex and time dependent setting:

Definition 4. We say that a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is a Mather measure if there exists $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that properties (a)-(c) in Theorem 3 are satisfied.

Our main result is:

Theorem 5. *Assume that the Hamiltonian is a smooth function that satisfies the conditions (i.)-(iii.) and let $\{\mu^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be the family of measures defined in* (16)*. Then there exist a Mather measure μ and a nonnegative and symmetric $d \times d$ matrix $(m_{kj})_{k,j=1,\dots d}$ of Borel measures called the dissipation measure, such that:*
(1) $\mu^\varepsilon \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu$ in the sense of measures up to subsequences,
(2) $\int_\Omega \varphi_t + \{H, \varphi\} d\mu + \int_\Omega \varphi_{p_k p_j} dm_{kj} = 0$ for all $\varphi \in C_c(\Omega)$,
(3) $\operatorname{supp}\mu$ and $\operatorname{supp} m$ are compact.

2. Uniform Derivate Bounds

Let us consider the equation:

$$\phi_t^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\Delta\phi^\varepsilon + H(x, P + D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t), t) = \bar{H}(P). \tag{8}$$

Lemma 6. *The periodic solutions of* (8) *have first derivatives, uniformly bounded in ε.*

Sketch of the proof. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ let us consider the following problem

$$\phi_t^\varepsilon + \Delta\phi^\varepsilon + H(x, D\phi^\varepsilon, t) - \varepsilon\phi^\varepsilon = 0 \tag{9}$$

The above equation has a unique smooth solution ϕ^ε in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ which is $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ periodic [GV]. First, we proved that $D\phi^\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded, by following [BS] we proved that there exists $K > 0$ depending only on H such that

$$\sup \|D\phi^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \leq K \tag{10}$$

Finally if we take $g = d_t^2 phi^\varepsilon + |D\phi^\varepsilon|^2$and using the Bernstein's method we prove that $d_t\phi^\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded.

□

Theorem 7. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a unique number $H^\varepsilon(P) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the equation* (8) *admits a unique (up to constants) $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ periodic viscosity solution. Moreover, for every $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \bar{H}^\varepsilon(P) \to \bar{H}(P)$ and $\phi^\varepsilon \to \phi_0$ uniformly (up to subsequences), where $\phi_0 : \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is that* (4) *is satisfied in the viscosity sense.*

Proof. The theorem follows by Lemma 6, the stability theorem for viscosity solutions and the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem. □

3. Stochastic Measures

Definition 8. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The linearized operator associated to (8) is defined as $L_{\varepsilon,P} : C^2(\mathbb{T}^{d+1}) \to C(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})$:

$$L_{\varepsilon,P}\,\psi = \psi_t + \varepsilon\Delta\psi + D_p H(x, P + D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t), t) D\psi, \tag{11}$$

for every $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})$.

As in [CGT], we denote by β either a direction in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (i.e., $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|\beta| = 1$) or a parameter (for example $\beta = P_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$). When $\beta = P_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the symbols H_β and $H_{\beta\beta}$ have to be understood as H_{p_i} and $H_{p_ip_i}$ respectively. If we derive (8) with respect to β and recalling (11) we get

$$L_{\varepsilon,P}\,\phi^\varepsilon_\beta = \phi^\varepsilon_{t\beta} + \varepsilon\Delta\phi^\varepsilon_\beta + D_pH(x, P + D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t), t)D\phi^\varepsilon_\beta + H_\beta = \bar{H}^\varepsilon,$$

so

$$L_{\varepsilon,P}\,\phi^\varepsilon_\beta = \bar{H}^\varepsilon - H_\beta. \tag{12}$$

As before, let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, where (x, v, t) represents a generic point with $(x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^{d+1}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We need to introduce a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ endowed with a Brownian motion $W(t) : \Omega \to \mathbb{T}^d$ on the flat d-torus. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, to simplify we set $P = 0$ and we introduce the time dependent vector field [Fl], $U_\varepsilon(x,t) = D_pH(x, D\phi_\varepsilon(x,t), t)$ and consider the solution $X_\varepsilon(s)$ of the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} dX^\varepsilon(s) &= U_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(s), s)ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}\,dW(s), \\ X^\varepsilon(t) &= x. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

And the momentum variable is defined as

$$p^\varepsilon(t) = D\phi^\varepsilon(X^\varepsilon(t), t).$$

Now suppose $\mathbf{z} : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a solution to the stochastic differential equation

$$d\mathbf{z}_i = a_i\,ds + b_{ij}dW(s)$$

with a_i and b_{ij} bounded and progressively measurable processes. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function where $\varphi(\mathbf{z}, t)$ satisfies the Itô formula:

$$d\varphi = \varphi_{z_i}dz_i + (\varphi_t + \frac{1}{2}b_{ij}b_{jk}\,\varphi_{z_iz_k})dt. \tag{14}$$

From hereafter, we will use Einstein's convention for repeated indices in a sum. Here, we have $a_i = D_pH(x, D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t), t)$ and $b_{ij} = \sqrt{2\varepsilon}\delta_{ij}$.

Therefore, from (13), (14) and (12),

$$\begin{aligned} dp_i &= \phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}[D_pH(X^\varepsilon, D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t), t)dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}dW^j] + \phi^\varepsilon_{x_it}(X^\varepsilon(x), t) + \varepsilon\Delta\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i}\,dt, \\ &= L_{\varepsilon,P}\,\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i}\,dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}\,\phi_{x_ix_j}dW^j \\ &= H_{x_i}\,dt + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}\,\phi_{x_ix_j}dW^j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(X_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon)$ satisfies the following stochastic version of the Hamiltonian dynamics

$$\begin{cases} dX^\varepsilon(s) &= U_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(s), s)ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}\,dW(s), \\ dp^\varepsilon(s) &= -DH(X^\varepsilon, p^\varepsilon, s)ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}\,D^2\phi^\varepsilon dW(s). \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Now we are going to study the solution ϕ^ε of (8) along the trajectory $X_\varepsilon(s)$. Due to the Itô formula, and the equations (8) and (13).

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi^\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(s)) &= D\phi^\varepsilon dX_\varepsilon + (\phi^\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon\Delta\phi^\varepsilon)ds, \\ &= D\phi^\varepsilon[D_pH(x, D\phi^\varepsilon(x,s), s)ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}dW(s)] + (\phi^\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon\Delta\phi^\varepsilon)ds, \\ &= (\phi^\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon\Delta\phi^\varepsilon + D_pH(x, D\phi^\varepsilon(x,s), s)\cdot D\phi^\varepsilon)ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}D\phi^\varepsilon dW(s), \\ &= L_{\varepsilon,P}\phi^\varepsilon\, ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}\, dW(s) = (\bar{H}_\varepsilon(P) - H + D_pH\cdot D\phi^\varepsilon)ds + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}dW(s). \end{aligned}$$

And using the Dynkin formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[\phi^\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(T)) - \phi^\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(0))] &= E\Big[\int_0^T (D_pH(X_\varepsilon, D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t),t)D\phi^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\Delta\phi^\varepsilon)\Big]dt \\ &= E\Big[\int_0^T (D_pH(X_\varepsilon, D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t),t)D\phi^\varepsilon + \bar{H}_\varepsilon(P) - H - \phi^\varepsilon_t)dt\Big] \end{aligned}$$

Now we will associate to each trajectory $(X_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon, t)$ of (15) a probability measure $\mu_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x,p,t)d\mu_\varepsilon(x,p,t) := \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}E\Big[\int_0^T \phi(X_\varepsilon(t), p^\varepsilon(t), t)\,dt\Big] \tag{16}$$

for every $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here, the definition makes sense provided the limit is taken over an appropiate subsequence. Then using Dynkin's formula, we have that for every $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &E\Big[\varphi(X^\varepsilon(T), p^\varepsilon(T), T) - \varphi(X^\varepsilon(0), p^\varepsilon(0), 0)\Big] \\ &= E\Big[\int_0^T \varphi_t + (D_x\varphi\cdot D_pH - D_p\varphi\cdot D_xH)dt\Big] \\ &+ E\Big[\int_0^T (\varepsilon\varphi_{x_ix_i} + 2\varepsilon\,\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{x_ip_j} + \varepsilon\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_k}\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{p_kp_j})\,dt\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Dividing the equation (17) by T and taking the limit when $T\to\infty$ along a suitable subsequence we obtain:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_t + \{H,\varphi\}d\mu^\varepsilon + \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d} (\varepsilon\varphi_{x_ix_i} + 2\varepsilon\,\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{x_ip_j} + \varepsilon\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_k}\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{p_kp_j})\,d\mu^\varepsilon \tag{18}$$

Let us define the projected measure $\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})$ as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} \varphi(x,t)d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x,t)d\mu^\varepsilon(x,p,t)$$

for all $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})$. And using test functions that do not depend on p in the last definition:

$$-\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} D_pH\cdot D_x\varphi\, d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} (\varphi_t + \varepsilon\Delta\varphi)d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} \tag{19}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^{d+1})$.

Given ϕ^ε, let us consider the partial differential equation

$$\theta_t^\varepsilon - \varepsilon\Delta\theta^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\theta^\varepsilon \cdot D_p H(x, D\phi^\varepsilon, t)) = 0,$$

From lemma 32 and lemma 33 in [GV], we have that 0 is the principal value of Fokker-Planck operator

$$N(\theta^\varepsilon) = -\theta_t^\varepsilon + \Delta\theta^\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(\theta^\varepsilon \cdot D_p H(x, D\phi^\varepsilon, t))$$

and so μ^ε can be defined as a unique measure such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x,p,t)d\mu^\varepsilon(x,p,t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} \psi(x, D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t),t)d\theta^\varepsilon(x,t),$$

for every $\psi \in C_c(\mathbb{T}^{d+1}\times\mathbb{R}^d)$.

3.1. **Uniform Estimates.**

Lemma 9. *We have the following estimates:*

$$\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} = 2\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} \phi_\beta^\varepsilon(H_\beta - \bar{H}_\beta^\varepsilon)d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} = -2\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} \phi_\beta^\varepsilon(\bar{H}_\beta^\varepsilon - H_\beta)d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}, \tag{20}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} (\bar{H}_\beta^\varepsilon - H_{\beta\beta} - 2D_pH_\beta \cdot D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon - D_{pp}^2 H D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon \cdot D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon)d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} = 0, \tag{21}$$

$$\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D\phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon|^2\, d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} = -2\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} \phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon(\bar{H}_\beta^\varepsilon - H_{\beta\beta} - 2D_pH_\beta\cdot D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon - D_{pp}^2 H : D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon \otimes D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon)\, d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}. \tag{22}$$

Proof. Recalling (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\varepsilon,P}|\phi_\beta^\varepsilon|^2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} < \phi_\beta^\varepsilon, \phi_\beta^\varepsilon > + \varepsilon\Delta < \phi_\beta^\varepsilon, \phi_\beta^\varepsilon > + D_pH(x, P + D < \phi_\beta^\varepsilon, \phi_\beta^\varepsilon >, t) + H_\beta = \bar{H}_\beta^\varepsilon \\ &= 2\phi_{t\beta}^\varepsilon \cdot \phi_\beta^\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon \cdot \phi_\beta^\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon\Delta\phi_\beta^\varepsilon \cdot \phi_\beta^\varepsilon + D_pH(x, P + D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t), t) \cdot 2D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon \cdot \phi_\beta^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$L_{\varepsilon,P}|\phi_\beta^\varepsilon|^2 = 2L_{\varepsilon,P}\,\phi_\beta^\varepsilon \cdot \phi_\beta^\varepsilon + \varepsilon|D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon|^2 = 2\phi_\beta^\varepsilon(\bar{H}_\beta^\varepsilon - H_\beta) + \varepsilon|D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon|^2.$$

Integrating with respect to θ_{μ^ε} and using (19), we get (20).

To obtain (21) we differentiate (12) with respect to β, we have:

$$L_{\varepsilon,P}\,\phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon = \bar{H}_\beta^\varepsilon - H_{\beta\beta} - 2D_pH_\beta \cdot D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon - D_{pp}^2 H : D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon \otimes D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon, \tag{23}$$

Integrating again with respect to θ_{μ^ε} and using (19) we get (21).

On the other hand

$$L_{\varepsilon,P}|\phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon|^2 = 2L_{\varepsilon,P}\phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon \cdot \phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon|D\phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon|^2,$$

using (23) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}L_{\varepsilon,P}|\phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon|^2 = \phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon(\bar{H}_\beta^\varepsilon - H_{\beta\beta} - 2D_pH_\beta \cdot D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon - D_{pp}^2 H : D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon \otimes D\phi_\beta^\varepsilon) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|D\phi_{\beta\beta}^\varepsilon|^2,$$

once again, integrating with respect to θ_{μ^ε} and by (19) we get (22). □

Following the techniques of [CGT], [E1] and [T], we will obtain several estimates that will be useful in the future.

Proposition 10. *We have the following*

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{xx}\phi^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} \leq C \tag{24}$$

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{Px}\phi^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D_P\phi^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} + \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D_P H - D_P\bar{H}^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}. \tag{25}$$

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i x_i}|^2 \leq C(1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{xx}\phi^\varepsilon|^3 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}) \tag{26}$$

Proof. Taking $\beta = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{d+1}$ respectively in (20), we have

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i}|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} \phi^\varepsilon_{x_i} H_{x_i} d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon},$$

and adding these $d+1$ identities we obtain

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{xx}\phi^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} D_x\phi^\varepsilon \cdot D_x H d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon},$$

now, due to Lemma. 6, $|D_x\phi^\varepsilon|$, $|D_x H|$ are uniformly bounded, thus we get (24).

Now, the relation (25) follows by taking $\beta = P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{d+1}$ in (20), adding the $d+1$ identities

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{Px}\phi^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} D_P\phi^\varepsilon [D_P H - D_P\bar{H}^\varepsilon] d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}$$

And using the Young's inequality.

To obtain (26), taking $\beta = x_i$ in (22)

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i x_i}|^2 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} \phi^\varepsilon_{x_i x_i} (H_{x_i x_i} + 2D_p H_{x_i} \cdot D\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i} - D^2_{pp} H : D\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i} \otimes D\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i}) d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}$$

Due to Lemma 6, we have that $|H_{x_i,x_i}|$, $|D_p H_{x_i}|$, $|D^2_{pp} H| \leq C$ on the support of $d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i x_i}|^2 &\leq C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{xx}\phi^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} + \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{xx}\phi^\varepsilon|^2 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} + \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{xx}\phi^\varepsilon|^3 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon} \Big) \\ &\leq C\Big(1 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d+1}} |D^2_{xx}\phi^\varepsilon|^3 d\theta_{\mu^\varepsilon}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Existence of Mather measures.

Now we are able to prove the existence of Mather measures.

Proof of Theorem 5. The proof straightforward noticing that $\{\phi^\varepsilon\}$ have a uniform Lipschitz estimate, therefore there exists a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\mu^\varepsilon \subset K\ \forall \varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, up to subsequences, we have $\mu^\varepsilon \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu$, that is

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega \phi\, d\mu^\varepsilon \to \int_\Omega \phi\, d\mu$$

for every function $\phi \in C_c(\Omega)$, for some probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and also it follows that $\operatorname{supp}\mu \subset K$.

To obtain (2), let us remember (18) particularly the second term

$$\int_\Omega (\varepsilon\varphi_{x_ix_i} + 2\varepsilon\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{x_ip_j} + \varepsilon\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_k}\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{p_kp_j})\, d\mu^\varepsilon \tag{27}$$

But

$$\Big|\int_\Omega (\varepsilon\varphi_{x_ix_i} + 2\varepsilon\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{x_ip_j}\Big|\, d\mu^\varepsilon \le C\varepsilon + C\varepsilon\int_\Omega |\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}|\, d\mu^\varepsilon \tag{28}$$

$$\le C\varepsilon + C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{29}$$

by using the estimates in Proposition 10, so the

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_\Omega (\varepsilon\varphi_{x_ix_i} + 2\varepsilon\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{x_ip_j})\, d\mu^\varepsilon = 0$$

Note that $\varepsilon\int_\Omega(\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_k}\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{p_kp_j})\, d\mu^\varepsilon$ does not vanish in the limit, through a subsequence for every $k, j = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\varepsilon\int_\Omega (\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_k}\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_j}\varphi_{p_kp_j})\, d\mu^\varepsilon \to \int_\Omega \varphi_{p_kp_j}\, dm_{kj},\ \forall\varphi \in C_c(\Omega)$$

for some nonnegative, symmetric $d\times d$ matrix (m_{kj}) $k, j = 1, \dots, n$ of Borel measures, so condition 2, follows. To obtain (3), recall that $\sup|p^\varepsilon(t)| < \infty$ and the periodicity in time.

Now we will prove that μ satisfies the conditions (a)-(c) in Definition 4 with $P = 0$. Following [CGT], [E1] and [T] consider

$$\int_\Omega (\phi_t + H(x,p,t) - \bar{H}^\varepsilon)^2\, d\mu^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2\int_\Omega |\Delta\phi^\varepsilon(x,t)|^2 d\mu^\varepsilon \to 0$$

when $\varepsilon \to 0$ due to (8) and (24), thus (a) occurs.

Recalling the equation (18) and choosing as a test function $\varphi = \psi(\phi^\varepsilon(x,t))$

$$\int_\Omega \psi'(\phi^\varepsilon)[\phi^\varepsilon_t + D_x\phi^\varepsilon\cdot D_pH]d\mu^\varepsilon + \varepsilon\int_\Omega \psi'(\phi^\varepsilon)[\phi^\varepsilon_{x_ix_i} + (\phi^\varepsilon_{x_i})^2]d\mu^\varepsilon = 0,$$

if ε goes to zero, we obtain $\int_\Omega \psi'(\phi)[\phi_t + p \cdot D_p H]d\mu = 0$, choosing $\psi(\phi) = \phi$, we obtain b). Now part c) follows by choosing in (2) test functions φ that do not depend on the variable p. □

References

[B] P. Bernard, *Young measures, superposition, and transport*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (1), 247276 (2008)

[BS] G. Barles y P. E. Souganidis, *Space-time periodic solutions and long-time behavior of solutions to quasi-linear parabolic equations*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., **32**, no. 6 (2001) 1311-1323.

[CGT] F. Cagnetti, D.Gomes, & H. V. Tran *Aubry-Mather Measures in the Nonconvex Setting*; SIAM J. Math. Anal. Vol. 43, No. 6, 2601262.

[CIS] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga & H. Sánchez-Morgado *Weak solutions of the Hamilton Jacobi equation for Time Periodic Lagrangians*. arXiv:1307.0287.

[E] L. C. Evans *Partial Differential Equations*, AMS, (2000).

[E1] L. C. Evans, *Adjoint and compensated compactness methods for Hamilton-Jacobi PDE*; Arch.Ration. Mech. Anal., 197 (2010)1053 1088.

[EG] L. C. Evans & D. Gomes *Effective Hamiltonians and Averaging for Hamiltonian Dynamics II*; Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 161 , (2002) 271-305.

[Fl] W. Fleming, M. Soner, Controlled Markov Processes and Viscosity Solutions, Springer 1993.

[G] D. Gomes, *A Stochastic analog of Aubry-Mather Theory* Nonlinearity,**15**, no. 3 (2002) 581-603.

[GV] E.Guerra-Velasco(2015). The viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation for space-time periodic Hamiltonians. (Doctoral Thesis). UNAM Database: http://132.248.9.195/ptd2015/abril/506015252/Index.html;

[T] H. V. Tran, *Adjoint Methods for Static HamiltonJacobi Equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 41 (2011) 301319.

CONACYT-Universidad Autnoma de Chiapas, Facultad de Ciencias en Física y Matemáticas, México.

E-mail address: eddalyg@yahoo.com.mx, eguerra@conacyt.mx